Page 1 of 1





Erratum: Transition from 3D conformal to modern modulated craniospinal radiotherapy

CrossMark ← click for updates

Authors:

Hamida Fakira^{1,2}
Thurandrie Naiker^{1,3}
Brendon Smith^{1,3}
Annemari Groenewald^{1,3}

Affiliations:

¹Department of Radiation Medicine, Faculty of Health Sciences, University of Cape Town, Cape Town, South Africa

²Department of Medical Physics and Biomedical Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, University College London, London, United Kingdom

³Department of Radiation Medicine, Faculty of Health Sciences, Groote Schuur Hospital, Cape Town, South Africa

Corresponding author: Hamida Fakira, bhsjfakira@

gmail.com

Dates: Published: 09 May 2023

How to cite this correction:

Fakira H, Naiker T, Smith B, Groenewald A. Erratum: Transition from 3D conformal to modern modulated craniospinal radiotherapy. S Afr J Oncol. 2023;7(0), a256. https://doi. org/10.4102/sajo.v7i0.256

Copyright:

© 2023. The Authors. Licensee: AOSIS. This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution License.

Read online:



Scan this QR code with your smart phone or mobile device to read online.

In the published article, Fakira H, Naiker T, Smith B, Groenewald A. Transition from 3D conformal to modern modulated craniospinal radiotherapy. S Afr J Oncol. 2022;6(0), a248. https://doi.org/10.4102/sajo.v6i0.248, on page 3 the following paragraph is updated as it was incorrectly formulated:

The original incorrect wording:

Ethical approval from the Human Research Ethics Committee of the University of the Western Cape was obtained to conduct a retrospective RT dosimetry evaluation of six paediatric (aged 7–15 years) and one adult (aged 26 years) patients (ref. no. HREC REF: 067/2022).

The revised and updated wording:

Ethics approval was obtained from the Human Research Ethics Committee at the University of Cape Town to conduct a retrospective RT dosimetry evaluation of six paediatric (aged 7–15 years) and one adult (aged 26 years) patients (ref. no. HREC REF: 067/2022).

The publisher apologises for this error. The correction does not change the study's findings of significance or overall interpretation of the study's results or the scientific conclusions of the article in any way.

Note: DOI of original article published: https://doi.org/10.4102/sajo.v6i0.248.