Diagnosis and staging of laryngopharyngeal tumours with flexible endoscopy: A prospective study

Background: Many suspected laryngopharyngeal neoplasms are examination under general anaesthesia (EUA). Office-based endoscopy is already routinely performed on all patients presenting with a suspected laryngopharyngeal neoplasm. Accurate clinical staging and tissue sampling using flexible endoscopy may eliminate the need for EUA.

Aim: To compare the use of flexible endoscopy to EUA as primary diagnostic tool of laryngopharyngeal lesions to EUA, using accuracy of tissue samples obtained and clinical staging as primary outcome measures. Duration, patient tolerance and cost implications were also assessed.

Setting: The study was performed in the outpatient department and surgical theatres of Tygerberg Hospital, Cape Town, South Africa.

Methods: A prospective study compared staging and tissue sampling accuracy with flexible endoscopy to EUA in 54 patients. Duration, tolerance and cost implications were also assessed.

Results: Flexible endoscopic biopsy had a 77.1% sensitivity, 100% specificity and 82.2% diagnostic accuracy. Liquid-based cytology had 97.3% sensitivity, 100% specificity and 97.9% diagnostic accuracy in differentiating high-grade lesions from low-grade lesions. Local staging agreement occurred in 88.6% (n = 31/35) of malignant cases. The mean duration was 15 ± 7 min; 86% of patients perceived the procedure as tolerable. Flexible endoscopy as a primary diagnostic tool would have avoided EUA in 68.6% (n = 24/35) of squamous cell carcinoma cases, with a R128 232 cost savings.

Conclusion: Office-based endoscopy is an accurate, well-tolerated, time- and cost-effective primary diagnostic tool of laryngopharyngeal lesions. It reduces the number of patients requiring EUA. Further evaluation is empirical when the histopathology does not confirm the clinical suspicion of malignancy.
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Introduction

Diagnostic tissue sampling and accurate staging are absolute necessities for the appropriate management of all head and neck tumours. In laryngopharyngeal tumours, while squamous carcinoma is very common, the tissue sample must confirm the diagnosis, and the topographic stage and site of the lesion inform the best treatment options. The gold standard for histological and topographic assessment of laryngopharyngeal tumours is the traditional examination under general anaesthesia (EUA), which includes direct laryngoscopy, pharyngoscopy, tracheoscopy, oesophagoscopy and biopsy. This comes at a considerable cost in terms of health care expenses, theatre time and delays to definitive management.

Health care costs, combined with advances in medical science and technology, have increased the trend towards outpatient procedures wherever possible. Transnasal flexible endoscopy is widely performed in the outpatient setting on patients with otorhinolaryngology (ORL) problems. It allows a topographic assessment of a lesion, and tissue samples can be obtained through a working channel in the endoscope.

Pressures on the public health care system are as great in South Africa as they are internationally, and this led the authors to investigate the possibility of replacing traditional EUA and biopsy for laryngopharyngeal lesions with an outpatient-based, local anaesthetic procedure.

The use of flexible endoscopy to topographically stage laryngopharyngeal tumours was first described in 1989. No other studies in the English literature were found that validate this technique.
Furthermore, there are technical difficulties involved in obtaining adequate and reliable tissue samples for definitive diagnosis. The biopsy channel, and therefore the cup forceps, is very small.9

Additionally, tissue sampling and topographic staging of laryngopharyngeal lesions using flexible endoscopy need to be well-tolerated and time-efficient. We conducted a prospective study to evaluate the feasibility of this alternative to EUA.

Materials and methods

Patient selection

A prospective, blinded study was conducted in a tertiary ORL setting at Tygerberg Hospital, Cape Town, South Africa. All patients with suspected laryngeal or hypopharyngeal lesions presenting between August 2011 and November 2012 were included in the study. Patients presenting with acute upper airway obstruction were excluded. The study was explained to, and written consent was obtained from, each patient for the flexible endoscopy and EUA. Ethical approval was obtained through Stellenbosch University.

Technique

Endoscopic evaluation under local anaesthetic with biopsy and liquid-based brush cytology was compared with the gold standard of EUA and biopsy. The office-based flexible endoscopic procedure was performed on every patient before proceeding with the EUA. The endoscopic procedure was performed in the consulting room by a consultant and an otolaryngology (ENT) registrar with a video tower. The nasal cavity was prepared with a 1% lignocaine–oxymetazoline spray and the oropharynx with 10 mg/0.1 mL lignocaine in alcohol spray. The consultant then performed the procedure using a flexible endoscope with a working channel (PENTAX FNL-15RP3). The laryngopharyngeal lesion was visualised and 1% lignocaine was sprayed onto the laryngopharyngeal lesion through the working channel of the flexible endoscope. The lesion was topographically assessed before tissue samples were collected. Cell and tissue samples were obtained through the working channel of the flexible endoscope. A transepithelial cytology sample was acquired first using the flexible brush (OLYMPUS BC-202D-3010), followed by a flexible cup forceps biopsy to obtain a histological sample. The consultant recorded his findings on a Head and Neck Staging Diagram Sheet (Appendix 1) and clinically staged the lesion using the American Joint Committee on Cancer (AJCC) 7th edition TNM classification. This stages a tumour based on the tumour’s local extensiveness (T), nodal disease status (N) and distant metastasis (M). The duration of the procedure was recorded. Patients were asked whether they found the procedure tolerable.

Another consultant, blinded to the flexible endoscopy findings, performed the standard EUA and biopsy within 5 days of the flexible endoscopy, where the lesion was again topographically assessed and histological samples were obtained. Here too topographic assessment and TNM stage were recorded on the Head and Neck Staging Diagram Sheet.

Prior to the study, a small blinded study was performed where the two consultants involved compared their evaluation of EUA procedures, to limit inter-observer error. Each consultant assessed 10 laryngopharyngeal lesions and recorded their findings on the Head and Neck Staging Diagram Sheet. Their T-staging of the primary lesion was identical in all 10 cases.

The cells were collected using liquid-based cytology, a modern technique that improves the quality of the samples presented for assessment.10,11 The cytology preparations were assessed using the recently proposed modified liquid-based oral cytological grading and scoring system.12 The histology specimens were graded using the laryngeal intraepithelial neoplasia (LIN) system.

Outcome measures

The outcome measures were:

• accuracy of tissue samples (cytology and biopsy) obtained with the flexible endoscopy compared with the standard EUA tissue biopsy
• accuracy of clinical staging using flexible endoscopy compared with the standard EUA
• duration of the flexible endoscopic procedure
• tolerability of the flexible endoscopic procedure
• cost analysis.

Statistical analysis

Data captured were analysed by the biostatistics department at Stellenbosch University. The sensitivity, specificity and accuracy of both flexible brush cytology and flexible cup forceps biopsy were compared to the EUA biopsy result. The agreement between clinical staging using flexible endoscopy and EUA was determined. The mean duration of the procedures was calculated. Patient tolerance was assessed both subjectively, by evaluating the patient’s opinion about the procedure, and objectively, by the ability to obtain an adequate tissue sample.

Ethical considerations

Ethics approval was obtained through Stellenbosch University (Reference number: N09/06/179). The authors assert that all procedures contributing to this work comply with the ethical standards of the South African Medical Research Council as well as the Guidelines for Ethical Research as set out by the Department of Health: Principles, Structures and Processes in 2004 and with the Helsinki Declaration of 1975, as revised in 2008.
Results and analysis

Fifty-four patients were included in the study. Four patients were excluded from analysis; one patient refused flexible endoscopy, the second did not have an EUA because of anaesthetic risks, the third died before the scheduled EUA and no lesion was identified at EUA with the fourth patient, suggesting complete removal of the small, pedunculated, benign lesion with the flexible endoscopic cup forceps biopsy. Fifty patients were therefore included in the statistical analysis.

Tissue sampling

Fifty patients had tissue samples obtained with both flexible endoscopy and EUA; 30% (n = 15) were benign non-neoplastic lesions and 70% (n = 35) were invasive squamous cell carcinoma (SCC). The benign lesions included two vocal cord polyps, one vocal cord nodule, seven cases of dysplasia and five of inflammatory changes.

Flexible endoscopic cup forceps biopsy

Flexible endoscopic cup forceps biopsy yielded an accurate sample in 38/50 patients when compared with EUA (Table 1). The sensitivity was 77.1%, the specificity was 100% and the diagnostic accuracy was 82.2%. Eight false-negative results (16%) and four inadequate biopsy samples (8%) were obtained. Of the invasive SCC diagnosed on EUA biopsy, flexible endoscopic biopsy identified 68.6% (n = 24/35).

Flexible endoscopy-guided liquid-based cytology

Flexible endoscopy-guided liquid-based cytology was accurate in 48/50 patients in differentiating high-grade lesions from low-grade lesions. The sensitivity was 97.3%, the specificity was 100% and the diagnostic accuracy was 97.9%. One false-negative result and one inadequate smear were obtained.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>No dysplasia</th>
<th>LIN I</th>
<th>LIN II</th>
<th>LIN III</th>
<th>Invasive SCC</th>
<th>No tissue</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EUA biopsy</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LIN I</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LIN II</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LIN III</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Invasive SCC</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>24</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: n = 50; bold text = agreement.

EUA, examination under general anaesthesia; LIN, laryngeal intraepithelial neoplasia; LIN I, mild dysplasia; LIN II, moderate dysplasia; LIN III, severe dysplasia or carcinoma in situ; SCC, squamous cell carcinoma.

Flexible endoscopy against EUA

T-stage agreement occurred in 88.6% (n = 31/35). There was an even distribution of primary sites, with 37.1% (n = 13) supraglottic, 34.3% (n = 12) glottic and 28.6% (n = 10) hypopharyngeal lesions. Table 2 plots staging using flexible endoscopy against EUA. The shaded area indicates agreement. The numbers above the shaded area are when flexible endoscopy underestimated the T-stage. The T4a lesions identified on flexible endoscopy were two supraglottic lesions with tongue base involvement and a hypopharyngeal lesion with soft tissue invasion through the thyroid cartilage.

Tolerability

Subjectively, 86% (n = 43/50) of patients perceived the procedure as tolerable. Five of the poorly tolerated cases had glottic lesions and three occurred during the first month of the study. Objectively, when considering tolerability as the ability to obtain a tissue sample, 92% (n = 46/50) of patients tolerated the procedure.

Table

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>EUA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Flexible endoscopy</td>
<td>T1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T1</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T2</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T3</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T4</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: n = 35; bold text = agreement.

EUA, examination under general anaesthesia; T1 to T4 indicates local disease extent.

Discussion

Health care is moving increasingly towards office-based procedures. This avoids unnecessary admissions, reduces theatre time and is preferred by patients. Office-based flexible endoscopic procedures are safe and well tolerated. Our study investigated the accuracy of different tissue sampling techniques and the clinical staging with flexible endoscopy.

Tissue sampling

Flexible endoscopic cup forceps biopsy

Cup forceps biopsy with flexible endoscopy was compared to biopsy under EUA. Our results showed a 77.1% sensitivity, 100% specificity, 82.2% accuracy and 8% (n = 4/50) inadequate samples. Other recent studies have shown similar sensitivities.
of 60% – 81% with flexible endoscopic cup forceps biopsy in diagnosing laryngeal tumours.\textsuperscript{4,6,12} The variability in the sensitivity and the false-negative rates in these studies may contribute to the different proposed recommendations. Richard et al. advocate EUA for all suspected malignancies, while others recommend EUA only when the clinical suspicion of a malignancy is not confirmed with the flexible endoscopic cup forceps biopsy.\textsuperscript{4,6,8} The former, however, found flexible endoscopy to be an inadequate diagnostic tool because of the correct identification of squamous carcinoma in only 15\% of cases. This contrasts with our study results, where flexible endoscopic cup forceps biopsy correctly identified 68\% (n = 24/35) of SCC. Additionally, we did not generate any false-positive results. Our 16\% false-negative rate compares well to the recent study by Farias et al. and emphasises the importance of clinical correlation with histological assessment.\textsuperscript{12} These results confirm that the majority, but not all, of suspected malignant laryngopharyngeal lesions can be identified using flexible endoscopic cup forceps biopsy. It highlights the importance of clinical correlation with the histological sample and that clinically suspicious lesions with histologically benign results should be interpreted with caution.

**Transepithelial flexible brush liquid-based cytology**

Conventional exfoliative cytology has been used as an alternative to biopsy in laryngeal lesions. It was found to have a poor quality and high number of inadequate specimens, with sensitivities of 70.3\% – 93.3\% and inadequate samples of 3.3\% – 5.0\% reported.\textsuperscript{13} In this study, we obtained transepithelial flexible brush cytological samples and analysed them using advanced liquid-based cytology. Our sensitivity of 97.3\% using liquid-based cytology is a marked improvement on the 70.3\% sensitivity reported by Farias et al. with conventional exfoliative laryngeal brush cytology.\textsuperscript{12} The cytological grading system used allows differentiation between high-grade and low-grade lesions. It cannot show invasion through the basement membrane and therefore cannot confirm an invasive carcinoma.\textsuperscript{10} A histological tissue sample would still be necessary to differentiate high-grade dysplasia from malignancy. It may prove very useful in cases where dysplasia is suspected when a suspected benign lesion has not responded to conservative management.

We recommend flexible endoscopic cup forceps biopsy as the initial tissue sampling technique in every case where malignancy is clinically suspected. A clinically benign lesion may be assessed using a transepithelial flexible brush with liquid-based cytology if any concern regarding possible dysplasia exists. This allows exclusion of high-risk lesions with minimal mucosal trauma. Benign low-grade lesions do not need further tissue biopsies, whereas cytological high-grade lesions need a tissue biopsy to exclude malignancy (see Figures 2 and 3).

**Clinical staging**

We used flexible endoscopy as an alternative to EUA. Flexible endoscopy has several theoretical advantages over EUA. These include assessment of vocal cord mobility, a bird’s-eye view of the laryngopharynx, better muscle tone in an awake patient, manoeuvres to expose the pyriform fossae and a lower risk of mucosal injury. Our study found T-stage agreement in 88.6\% (n = 31/35) of patients between these two techniques. This is comparable to the initial study by Bastian et al., where indirect laryngoscopy was equivocal or better than EUA in 82.1\% (n = 32/39) of patients.\textsuperscript{8}

Flexible endoscopy underestimated the extent of the lesion in four of our cases. They were two supraglottic lesions where base of tongue and pre-epiglottic space involvement were not identified on flexible endoscopy and a glottic lesion
findings in the final clinical staging of every case. The radiological imaging was performed and the radiological findings were included in the clinical assessment accuracy using flexible endoscopy to EUA. Computed tomography imaging significantly improves staging accuracy compared to clinical evaluation alone.

The authors believe that the combination of flexible endoscopy with radiological imaging obviates the need for EUA to topographically stage laryngopharyngeal lesions in most cases. The few cases where a bulky tumour limits the assessment of all subsites with flexible endoscopy should be staged by EUA.

**Patient tolerability**

This study found that the flexible endoscopic procedure was well tolerated. Subjectively, 86% ($n = 43/50$) of patients perceived the procedure as tolerable, while objectively, 92% ($n = 46/50$) of patients tolerated the procedure well enough to permit tissue sampling. Many authors assess tolerability subjectively, while some consider completion of a procedure as patient tolerability. Our results show good correlation between the subjective assessment of tolerability and the ability to obtain tissue samples. A scale to measure the degree of tolerability was not used; patients simply stated whether they found a procedure tolerable or not.

Glottic lesions and the clinician’s experience with the procedure were identified as factors contributing to patient tolerability, which directly affected the duration of the procedure. Patient tolerability may be improved by ensuring adequate glottic anaesthesia. A recent study identified the laryngeal drip, which is a topical local anaesthetic dropped through the working channel of the flexible endoscope, as a significant contributor to patient discomfort. In that study, patient tolerance and completion rates improved significantly when using nebulised lignocaine for transnasal tracheoscopy. Another prospective multicentre study evaluated patient tolerance of in-office laryngeal procedures and noted no difference in patient discomfort when comparing two different techniques for laryngeal topical anaesthesia. Nebulised lignocaine formed part of both techniques. The addition of nebulised lignocaine may further improve patient tolerability and allow better assessment of the subglottis.

**Duration**

The improvement in the length of time of the flexible endoscopic procedure during the study shows the role clinical experience with the technique plays. An initial learning curve is to be expected when mastering any new skill. The short duration of less than 20 min in the majority (83%) of cases should not disrupt the outpatient environment and makes this a viable outpatient procedure.
Conclusion
Flexible endoscopy in the outpatient setting to stage and biopsy laryngopharyngeal lesions is a cost-effective, time-efficient, well-tolerated procedure. Topographic staging is accurate and tissue biopsies are representative in the majority of cases. Examination under anaesthesia should only be considered in cases where the tissue biopsy obtained on flexible endoscopy does not confirm a suspected malignant lesion. Patient tolerance and the duration of the procedure improve with the endoscopist’s experience.

The authors recommend the use of flexible endoscopy in the outpatient setting as the initial primary diagnostic tool in all cases with a suspected laryngopharyngeal lesion without acute upper airway obstruction.
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Appendix starts on the next page ➔
### Tumor Staging

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stage</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T1a</td>
<td>1 cord and/or ant/post commissure involved, mobile</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T1b</td>
<td>2 cords and/or ant/post commissures involved, mobile</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T2</td>
<td>Involves supra/subglottis, ≥ impaired cord mobility</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T3</td>
<td>Limited to cervical, cord fixed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T4a</td>
<td>Thyroid cartilage invasion, extralaryngeal spread (oropharynx, oesophagus, thyroid, neck soft tissue)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T4b</td>
<td>Prevertebral space, carotid artery, mediastinum</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Subglottis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stage</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T1</td>
<td>Limited to subglottis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T2</td>
<td>Involves thyroid cartilage, central soft tissues (thyroid bone, strap muscles, thyroid)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T3</td>
<td>Limited to larynx, cord fixed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T4a</td>
<td>Involves thyroid cartilage, ≥ oesophagus, trachea, oropharynx, strap muscles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T4b</td>
<td>Prevertebral space, carotid artery, mediastinum</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Neck Nodes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stage</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nx</td>
<td>Neck nodes cannot be assessed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N0</td>
<td>No neck nodes evident</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N1</td>
<td>Single ipsilateral node, &lt; 3cm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N2a</td>
<td>Multiple ipsilateral nodes, 3-6cm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N2b</td>
<td>Multiple ipsilateral nodes &lt; 6cm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N2c</td>
<td>Bilateral/contralateral nodes &lt; 6cm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N3</td>
<td>Node &gt; 6cm</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**SUPRAGLOTTIS**

- **T1a**: 1 cord and/or ant/post commissure involved, mobile
- **T1b**: 2 cords and/or ant/post commissures involved, mobile
- **T2**: Involves supra/subglottis, ≥ impaired cord mobility
- **T3**: Limited to cervical, cord fixed
- **T4a**: Thyroid cartilage invasion, extralaryngeal spread (oropharynx, oesophagus, thyroid, neck soft tissue)
- **T4b**: Prevertebral space, carotid artery, mediastinum

**GLOTTIS**

- **T1a**: 1 cord and/or ant/post commissure involved, mobile
- **T1b**: 2 cords and/or ant/post commissures involved, mobile
- **T2**: Involves supra/subglottis, ≥ impaired cord mobility
- **T3**: Limited to cervical, cord fixed
- **T4a**: Thyroid cartilage invasion, extralaryngeal spread (oropharynx, oesophagus, thyroid, neck soft tissue)
- **T4b**: Prevertebral space, carotid artery, mediastinum

---

**HYOPHARYNX**

- **T1**: Limited to 1 subite, < 2cm
- **T2**: Involves > 1 subite or adjacent site, 2–4cm in size, hemilarynx not fixed
- **T3**: > 4cm in size, ≥ involves oesophagus
- **T4a**: Involves thyroid/cricoid cartilage, central soft tissues (thyroid bone, strap muscles, thyroid)
- **T4b**: Prevertebral space, carotid artery, mediastinum

---

**SUBGLOTTIS**

- **T1**: Limited to subglottis
- **T2**: Involves thyroid cartilage, central soft tissues (thyroid bone, strap muscles, thyroid)
- **T3**: Limited to larynx, cord fixed
- **T4a**: Involves thyroid cartilage, ≥ oesophagus, trachea, oropharynx, strap muscles
- **T4b**: Prevertebral space, carotid artery, mediastinum

---

**Neck Nodes**

- **Nx**: Neck nodes cannot be assessed
- **N0**: No neck nodes evident
- **N1**: Single ipsilateral node, < 3cm
- **N2a**: Multiple ipsilateral nodes, 3-6cm
- **N2b**: Multiple ipsilateral nodes < 6cm
- **N2c**: Bilateral/contralateral nodes < 6cm
- **N3**: Node > 6cm