Comparing morphology, flow cytometry and molecular genetics in the assessment of minimal residual disease in children with B-acute lymphoblastic leukaemia (B-ALL)
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Background: Minimal residual disease (MRD) detection has been shown to be the best prognostic factor in B-acute lymphoblastic leukaemia (B-ALL). Multicolour flow cytometry (FCM) and specific molecular aberrations (MOL) are the classic techniques used to assess MRD. The former is faster and less costly.

Aim: This study compares morphology and FCM to MOL in detecting MRD.

Setting: The study was conducted at Inkosi Albert Luthuli Central Hospital (IALCH).

Methods: A retrospective review of children with B-ALL managed at IALCH from January 2013 to January 2018 was conducted. Multicolour flow cytometry was performed using Eurolow® panels. Molecular aberrations looked at common cytogenetic markers. Presentation and post-induction morphology (May–Grunwald Giemsa stain), FCM and MOL data for MRD were analysed.

Results: Eleven patients were excluded (6-demised, 5-incomplete records), leaving 64 to be analysed (54% female, median age 5 years). Five post-induction aspirates were unsuitable but the rest (92%) were in morphological remission. At diagnosis and post-induction, 62 (95%) and 61 (94%) children, respectively, had FCM performed. A positive MOL result was found in 39 (60%) patients. MOL turn-around times (TATs) averaged 14 days compared with those of FCM’s average of 3 days. MRD was found in 9 patients (FCM) and 7 patients (MOL). Of these patients, 4 had a good correlation between the two and 2 patients with negative FCM had positive MOL MRD post-induction.

Conclusion: Morphology is insensitive in MRD assessment. FCM correlated well with molecular MRD and has the shortest turn-around time. FCM has major benefit in the 40% of patients with negative MOL. It can also be safely used to guide treatment escalation in those patients awaiting molecular results.
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Introduction

The survival of children diagnosed with B-acute lymphoblastic leukaemia (B-ALL) has increased markedly, reaching > 80% in developed countries. These outcomes are largely attributed to better risk stratification of patients, allowing therapy to be tailored accordingly. Risk categories take into account age of the patient, white cell count at diagnosis, recurrent genetic abnormalities and treatment response. Early response to treatment can be assessed by morphology or flow cytometry (FCM). Morphology is usually assessed by a peripheral blood blast count on Day 8 and by an FCM at Day 8 and Day 33 (post-induction chemotherapy).

The Day 33 sample is a bone marrow aspirate sample. The latter plays a major role in stratifying these patients, even in the absence of other poor risk factors.

The detection of minimal residual disease (MRD), more aptly referred to currently as measurable residual disease, early on in treatment is one measure used to identify patients at high risk of
treatment failure or relapse. It has also been shown to be the most important factor determining the outcome of patients undergoing a bone marrow stem cell transplant. MRD is defined as the detection of greater than 1 leukaemia cell in 10,000 normal cells (>0.01%) and has been shown to be the best prognostic factor in patients with B-ALL. MRD negativity generally predicts a very low risk of relapse and the ability to de-escalate management. The three classic MRD techniques are multicolour FCM (to detect a leukaemia-associated immunophenotype [LAIP]), monoclonal immunoglobulin gene rearrangements by real-time quantitative polymerase chain reaction (PCR) and the detection of fusion genes by PCR. MRD by FCM relies on the detection of a LAIP at diagnosis, distinguishing the abnormal population of blasts by over-, under- or aberrant antigen expression.

PCR methods are more sensitive than standard immunophenotyping but FCM is known to be quicker, less costly and less likely to pick up apoptotic blast cells as compared to the molecular methods. There is however the possibility of therapy changing the patient’s immunophenotype leading to the recommendation that at least two antigenic markers be used to define the LAIP per patient.

It is important to note that although FCM and molecular techniques have their individual advantages and disadvantages, both methods show good correlation in MRD detection. Minimal residual disease, however should not be interpreted in isolation as the combination of MRD with poor clinical risk factors bears the worst outcomes for patients.

Morphological assessment of bone marrow aspirates post induction is performed in most cancer centres to assess the remission status. In the late 1990s when MRD was gaining popularity in risk stratification, it was noted that the presence of blasts on morphology was a poor marker for risk of relapse, as it was not possible to distinguish pathological blasts from normal haematogones. Because of its decreased sensitivity compared to FCM and MOL methods, its value in determining remission status is waning. With a rise in the burden of non-communicable diseases in South Africa and the cost of treating malignancies known to be significant, omitting a test that has limited benefit in patient management is inevitable.

Inkosi Albert Luthuli Central Hospital (IALCH) is a quaternary hospital in Durban, South Africa, whose Haematology–Oncology Unit serves all children with malignancies from throughout KwaZulu-Natal. The IALCH unit has 29 beds catering for these children with access to both high care and ICU facilities on site.

The haematology laboratory provides in-house morphology and FCM services. MRD by FCM has been used in the laboratory since 2013 and is now used as a measure to guide molecular testing as well as clinical management. Because of unforeseen technical problems, FCM is sometimes referred to other sites. In patients with B-ALL, FCM for MRD is performed at Day 33 (post induction) as the initial measure of MRD. Limited molecular services are provided with most tests being outsourced to either the private sector or other state laboratories.

In this study, children diagnosed with B-ALL were reviewed to compare the diagnostic, as well as the post-induction morphology, FCM and molecular testing, results. The aim was to determine a testing algorithm which can guide patient management to ensure the most justifiable, sustainable and optimal use of hospital resources at a reasonable cost without compromising patient care.

Materials and methods
Study design and study population
A retrospective review of patient records was conducted using the electronic database of the Haematology-Oncology Unit to identify patients aged 0–13 years with a diagnosis of B-ALL from 01 January 2013 to 31 January 2018. Children older than 13 years and those who demised before post-induction chemotherapy investigations were excluded. The data were anonymous, password protected and only accessible to the primary investigator. The hospital and laboratory information systems were searched to retrieve the required clinical and laboratory information. Ethical approval was obtained from the Biomedical Research Ethics Committee at the University of KwaZulu-Natal. Permission was obtained from the laboratory and hospital management to collect these results.

The laboratory techniques for diagnosing and monitoring of residual leukemic disease post-induction chemotherapy included morphology assessment, FCM and molecular genetic analysis.

Morphology
Bone marrow aspirate slides were stained with the May–Grunwald Giemsa stain and reviewed by a registrar, and findings were corroborated by a pathologist under a Leica light microscope (Leica Microsystems, Germany). A myelogram count was performed on 500 nucleated cells to quantify the number of blasts using the 50× magnification, and this was documented on the bone marrow aspirate report. Treatment failure or relapse. It has also been shown to be the most important factor determining the outcome of patients undergoing a bone marrow stem cell transplant. MRD is defined as the detection of greater than 1 leukaemia cell in 10,000 normal cells (>0.01%) and has been shown to be the best prognostic factor in patients with B-ALL. MRD negativity generally predicts a very low risk of relapse and the ability to de-escalate management.

The three classic MRD techniques are multicolour FCM (to detect a leukaemia-associated immunophenotype [LAIP]), monoclonal immunoglobulin gene rearrangements by real-time quantitative polymerase chain reaction (PCR) and the detection of fusion genes by PCR. MRD by FCM relies on the detection of a LAIP at diagnosis, distinguishing the abnormal population of blasts by over-, under- or aberrant antigen expression.

It is important to note that although FCM and molecular techniques have their individual advantages and disadvantages, both methods show good correlation in MRD detection. Minimal residual disease, however should not be interpreted in isolation as the combination of MRD with poor clinical risk factors bears the worst outcomes for patients.

Morphological assessment of bone marrow aspirates post induction is performed in most cancer centres to assess the remission status. In the late 1990s when MRD was gaining popularity in risk stratification, it was noted that the presence of blasts on morphology was a poor marker for risk of relapse, as it was not possible to distinguish pathological blasts from normal haematogones. Because of its decreased sensitivity compared to FCM and MOL methods, its value in determining remission status is waning. With a rise in the burden of non-communicable diseases in South Africa and the cost of treating malignancies known to be significant, omitting a test that has limited benefit in patient management is inevitable.

Inkosi Albert Luthuli Central Hospital (IALCH) is a quaternary hospital in Durban, South Africa, whose Haematology–Oncology Unit serves all children with malignancies from throughout KwaZulu-Natal. The IALCH unit has 29 beds catering for these children with access to both high care and ICU facilities on site.

The haematology laboratory provides in-house morphology and FCM services. MRD by FCM has been used in the laboratory since 2013 and is now used as a measure to guide molecular testing as well as clinical management. Because of unforeseen technical problems, FCM is sometimes referred to other sites. In patients with B-ALL, FCM for MRD is performed at Day 33 (post induction) as the initial measure of MRD. Limited molecular services are provided with most tests being outsourced to either the private sector or other state laboratories.

In this study, children diagnosed with B-ALL were reviewed to compare the diagnostic, as well as the post-induction morphology, FCM and molecular testing, results. The aim was to determine a testing algorithm which can guide patient management to ensure the most justifiable, sustainable and optimal use of hospital resources at a reasonable cost without compromising patient care.

Materials and methods
Study design and study population
A retrospective review of patient records was conducted using the electronic database of the Haematology–Oncology Unit to identify patients aged 0–13 years with a diagnosis of B-ALL from 01 January 2013 to 31 January 2018. Children older than 13 years and those who demised before post-induction chemotherapy investigations were excluded. The data were anonymous, password protected and only accessible to the primary investigator. The hospital and laboratory information systems were searched to retrieve the required clinical and laboratory information. Ethical approval was obtained from the Biomedical Research Ethics Committee at the University of KwaZulu-Natal. Permission was obtained from the laboratory and hospital management to collect these results.

The laboratory techniques for diagnosing and monitoring of residual leukemic disease post-induction chemotherapy included morphology assessment, FCM and molecular genetic analysis.

Morphology
Bone marrow aspirate slides were stained with the May–Grunwald Giemsa stain and reviewed by a registrar, and findings were corroborated by a pathologist under a Leica light microscope (Leica Microsystems, Germany). A myelogram count was performed on 500 nucleated cells to quantify the number of blasts using the 50× magnification, and this was documented on the bone marrow aspirate report that was retrieved from the laboratory information system.
and Infinicyt reference images of the presentation immunophenotype were used to guide MRD analysis. Results were interpreted by a pathologist, and the report was uploaded to the laboratory information system.

**Molecular analysis**

Molecular testing (MOL) analyses included studies for \(t(1;19), t(9;22)\) p190, \(t(12;21)\), MLL gene rearrangement and immunoglobulin heavy chain gene rearrangements. Peripheral blood or bone marrow aspirate samples were analysed by quantitative real-time PCR (ipsogen, Qiagen, Germany or Identiclone, InVivoScribe, USA [detection limit of 1 in 10000 cells]) or fluorescent in situ hybridisation (FISH) (VYSIS FISH probes, Abbot, USA [detection limit of 1 in 200 interphase cells]) to identify the genetic aberrations. A mix of forward and reverse primers was used as per the Ipsogen kits. Standard operating protocols were used, and results were retrieved from the laboratory information systems.

The detection of residual disease between the different laboratory techniques was compared.

**Turn-around time**

Turn-around time (TAT) was defined as the time from when the sample was received in the laboratory to the time at which the result was accessible on the laboratory (Labtrack) information system. The TATs for morphology, FCM and molecular techniques were compared to establish which method yielded a result within the shortest amount of time.

**Statistical analysis**

Descriptive statistics was used to summarise the data. Frequencies and percentages were used for categorical data. Diagnostic test measures such as sensitivity and specificity, positive predictive value and negative predictive value were used to compare FCM with the gold standard test, that is, MOL. Flow cytometry and MOL testing were correlated with Cohen’s kappa and Pearson’s correlation coefficient.

**Ethical considerations**

Biomedical Research Ethics committee of the University of KwaZulu-Natal provided ethical clearance for this study, BREC Reference number: BE203/18. Permission to conduct the study was granted by the Inkosi Albert Luthuli Central Hospital (IALCH) upon approval received from the KwaZulu-Natal Department of Health, Health research and knowledge management (HRLM), Ref: 254/18 and National Health research database (NHRD), Ref: KZ_201806_035.

**Results**

Seventy-five patients were diagnosed with B-ALL during the study period. Six demised during the induction period and five patients had incomplete records. Sixty-four patients (Figure 1) were included in the study, and at diagnosis morphological assessment, FCM and MOL testing were performed in 81%, 97% and 100%, respectively, of all the study participants.

The demographic characteristics of the study population are summarised in Table 1. Females made up 54.6%, and the median age of this patient group was 5 years. Thirty-five patients (54.6%) were under the age of 5 years, and only one patient (1.6%) was older than 10 years.

Figure 2 represents the MRD results of the study population. MRD was detected in 12 patients. Five aspirates were unsuitable (hypocellular/dilute), while the remaining 92%...
showed morphological remission (< 5% blasts seen). A positive MOL result was found in 39/64 (60%) patients at diagnosis, and in 34 patients the assay was repeated post induction. Flow MRD was performed in 61 of the 64 patients. The remaining three patients were from 2013 when FCM was not yet routinely used as part of MRD protocols in the unit.

Flow cytometry identified an MRD population in 9/61 (15%), while MOL testing identified MRD in 34/64 (53%) patients at diagnosis, and in 34 patients the assay was repeated post induction. Flow MRD was performed in 61 of the 64 patients. The remaining three patients were from 2013 when FCM was not yet routinely used as part of MRD protocols in the unit. Flow cytometry identified an MRD population in 9/61 (15%), while MOL testing identified MRD in 7/64 (11%). If only including those patients in whom a positive molecular result was found at diagnosis, this figure increases to 18%. FCM and MOL MRD results correlated for 4 patients. Of the remaining 5 flow MRD positive results, two patients did not have a positive molecular result at diagnosis and one patient had a monoclonal immunoglobulin heavy chain gene rearrangement (monoclonal IgH) at diagnosis which was negative post induction. The remaining two patients were hyperdiploid at diagnosis without other positive molecular results, and ploidy analysis was not repeated post induction (threshold of blast population not present for ploidy analysis). Two of the seven patients with a positive MOL MRD had negative FCM. One patient had a monoclonal IgH, and the other had a t(1;19) fusion transcript. One patient had MOL MRD positive (fusion transcript) but MRD FCM was not performed in this patient. Using MOL as the gold standard, the positive and negative predictive values of FCM were found to be 80% and 92%, respectively. The sensitivity of FCM in our cohort was 66%, while the specificity was 96%. The correlation coefficient between the two tests was 0.71 using Pearson’s correlation coefficient and 0.66 using Cohen’s kappa.

Figure 3 shows the residual disease detected by FCM including one patient with antigenic shifts (Figure 3f). These patients had MRD testing performed at IALCH. The patient in Figure 3e had a diagnostic FISH for t(1;19) that was negative. In view of the positive MRD finding and the LAIP favouring a

MRD, Minimal residual disease.

FIGURE 3: Histograms illustrating patients with flow minimal residual disease positivity. (a) flow MRD pos: t(12;21) pos; (b) flow MRD pos: t(1;19) pos; (c) flow MRD pos: hyperdiploidy; (d) flow MRD pos: hyperdiploidy; (e) flow MRD pos: t(1;19) pos; (f) flow MRD pos: hyperdiploidy with antigenic shifts; (g) flow MRD positive (monoclonal IgH).

Figure 3 continues on the next page →
t(1;19), a PCR was repeated post induction and found to be positive. The LAIP for each patient is shown by the green reference line, and the post-induction MRD assessment is shown by the red histograms. All MRD assessments are also referenced to haematogones (not shown).

Figure 4 portrays the TATs of the different laboratory tests at diagnosis and post induction. Flow cytometry was superior with an average TAT of 3 days overall. Molecular results took an average of 15 and 13 days at diagnosis and post induction, respectively.

One patient with positive MRD defaulted but most remaining patients had treatment intensification. These results are shown in Figure 5. Eight patients (67%) received the Berlin–Frankfurt–Munich (BFM) 95 high-risk chemotherapy blocks,2 one patient had induction therapy extended for 2 weeks and another had re-induction therapy. One patient remained on the standard chemotherapy protocol possibly because molecular results were not available yet at the time that a decision regarding treatment intensification had to be made. Six of the MRD positive patients (50%) demised, three from relapse, one from refractory disease (patient defaulted and demised at base
hospital) and two from sepsis. This is higher compared to the MRD negative population in whom the mortality rate was 12% (6/52) with five of these patients relapsing.

Discussion

The detection of minimal residual disease (MRD) in haematological malignancies has provided a means of better risk stratification of patients. Patients who are shown to have MRD at the end of induction therapy are at a higher risk of relapse (as shown in this study) and have been shown to benefit from treatment intensification. Furthermore, studies have shown that patients without MRD can have treatment de-escalation without increased risk of morbidity or mortality. The impact of MRD on patient management has led to the need for standardised practices to be implemented, culminating in the recent publication of the AIEOP-BFM Consensus Guidelines 2016 for Flow Cytometric Immunophenotyping of Paediatric Acute Lymphoblastic Leukaemia.

In this study, 15% of patients were found to have MRD by FCM, and 18% of patients were found to have MRD by molecular methods (according to the number of patients that had a positive molecular result at diagnosis). In a large Children’s Oncology Group study, 19% of patients were found to have MRD; however, other studies show higher numbers, that is, 25–28% of patients had MRD post induction. The lower MRD rate in our population may be related to the small sample size and the fact that the flow panel and the instrument used in the two studies were different from those used in this study. Decisions to escalate therapy were made based on MRD results as well as any additional high-risk features that patients may have presented with. The majority of patients (85%) received intensified chemotherapy. Currently, MRD by FCM is the standard practice in the United States, while Europe uses q-PCR.

The correlation between FCM and MOL MRD detection in this study was found to be 0.66 by Cohen’s kappa and 0.71 by Pearson’s correlation coefficient. This is lower than that found in the international literature, and may be a function of sample size. Discordance between FCM and PCR MRD methods is usually present in patients with low levels of MRD (0.001%). This can usually be overcome by next-generation sequencing, which can increase the concordance to 98%, as well as reduced by newer processing methods for FCM such as bulk-lysis protocols. Likely reasons for discordance could be a poor sample (too few events, contamination and coagulation), different laboratories using different techniques for MRD detection and the presence of normal regenerating B-cell precursor cells, particularly in regenerating bone marrow after induction chemotherapy.

Flow cytometry is known to be a ‘faster and less labour-intensive’ method to detect MRD. This study concurs with this statement in that the TAT was reduced compared to both morphology and molecular results, with telephonic flow results available within 24 hours. Additionally, the entire bone marrow sample is assessed, and antigenic shifts, whether from clonal evolution or clonal selection, can be detected (Figure 3). Clonal evolution is known to be an important factor in the determination of MRD as treatment can alter the expression of certain antigens leading to false-negative results.

Flow cytometry can be used to monitor > 90% of patients (especially in patients with two or more markers to define the LAIP) for MRD, unlike MOL testing which can only be performed if the genetic aberration was present at diagnosis. Added advantages of FCM are that very small populations of cells can be identified and dead cells can be recognised and excluded from analysis. Molecular aberrations were only detected in 60% of the study participants at diagnosis, and hence 40% could not be followed up using MOL methods. This is higher than that found in other studies where a prevalence of fusion transcripts is found in up to 40% of patients. In our study, FCM results were used to guide patient management in these patients.

The sensitivity of flow cytometry in this study was 66%, and the specificity was 96%. Two patients had a negative flow MRD but a positive MOL MRD. One of these patients had a fusion transcript, and another had a monoclonal immunoglobulin heavy chain product (IgH) which remained positive post induction. In both of these patients, the paired diagnostic and MRD FCM techniques were not performed in the same laboratory, and hence no reference images were available at the time of doing MRD testing at IALCH. This may have been a limitation to MRD detection. Rarely, however, residual disease is not the only reason for monoclonal IgH detection. Both non-malignant conditions and viral infections must also be considered.

Similar to other studies, morphological analysis of bone marrow aspirate samples was not sensitive in the post-induction detection of MRD. This is likely because of induction chemotherapy often resulting in a hypoplastic bone marrow and morphological changes to cells. Furthermore, it is difficult to morphologically differentiate clonal precursor B cells (in a regenerating bone marrow) from haematogones which could lead to over- or underestimation of disease burden. The technique and sample quality greatly affect the ability to detect abnormal cells. A recent study from the Children’s Oncology Group (COG) compared...
Morphology to flow cytometry in the assessment of remission. Morphology was found to be inferior to flow cytometry in the assessment of remission, and hence the authors suggested that flow cytometry should ‘augment the definition of remission in ALL’. The need to include morphology is thus not justified, especially considering the added cost as well as the unnecessary clinical workload on the pathologists.

The limitations of this study are that the sample size was small, and MRD testing was not performed on Day 8 or 15 to compare results. Most molecular tests were referred to other laboratories which impacted on the TAT but this may be applicable to many other centres as molecular labs are not widely available. The standard, rather than bulk lysis, flow cytometry protocols were used; however, the laboratory uses the same method to process proficiency samples and is showing very good performance. The sensitivity of flow cytometry is also improved by the use of case-specific LAIP markers as well as immunophenotypic shifts whether treatment-related or not.

Although a full cost-effectiveness analysis was beyond the scope of this article, there is a clear cost-saving should morphology be excluded from post-induction (and other time-points) analysis for minimal residual disease. The evidence shows that this can be done without compromising patient care or safety. The benefit will also extend to the workload of the two pathologists at the haematology laboratory who would have to ensure the reporting of these morphology cases. The WHO has advocated for the use of a workload indicator tool, the WISN (Workload Indicators of Staffing Need), which assesses workload pressures in healthcare facilities, clearly indicating the need to ensure maximum productivity of staff which is greatly aided by excluding unnecessary work.

A possible algorithm for post-induction minimal residual disease monitoring is shown in Figure 6:

- **At diagnosis**: Collect a bone marrow sample for morphology, flow cytometry, molecular genetics, cytogenetics. Review morphology and process the FCM sample. Based on the LAIP, order the appropriate molecular tests that are most commonly associated with that particular immunophenotype.
- **Post induction**: Collect bone marrow for aspirate, trephine, flow and molecular testing. Flow cytometry sample should be the first draw, followed by molecular sample. Process flow cytometry sample; if positive treat as MRD. If negative, do MOL tests if present from diagnosis. If MOL not detected, review morphology.

### Conclusion

Morphology is insensitive in MRD assessment and can safely be excluded with the added benefit of decreased pressure on human resources and a significant cost saving. Flow cytometry has a major benefit in 40% of patients with a negative MOL test at diagnosis and has the shortest TAT. It can also be safely used to guide treatment escalation in those patients awaiting molecular results. Flow cytometry diagnostic and follow-up MRD samples should always be reviewed in the same laboratory to ensure that reference images are available. The lack of complete survival data was a limitation of the study.
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**Figure 6:** Proposed testing algorithm for minimal residual disease.
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